

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/615,769	07/10/2003	Takeo Nakamoto	402697	9473	
23548	7590 06/18/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW			DANG, PHUC T		
SUITE 300	LIVIII 51. IVW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20005-3960		2818		

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(h)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Astion Comment	10/615,769	NAKAMOTO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	PHUC T DANG	2818
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orr spond nc address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on election	ion filed on April 20, 2004.	
•—	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	•	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 4:	03 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-11 is/are withdrawr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	n from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate
3) Antormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0703</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/615,769 Page 2

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-3 are considered for examining by election filed on April 20, 2004.

2. Claims 4-11 are non-elected claims and still pending in the application.

Oath/Declaration

3. The oath/declaration filed on July 10, 2003 is acceptable.

Priority

4. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The office acknowledges receipt of the following items from the applicant:
 Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on July 10, 2003.

Specification

6. The specification has been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. However, the applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary

Art Unit: 2818

skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meakin (U.S. Patent No. 5,143,018) in view of Marte et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,139,755).

Meakin discloses a chemical process comprising:

a chemical processing cup (1, Fig. 1), in which a member-to-be-processed (7, Fig. 1) is to be placed; and

a pumping device (5, Fig. 1) for circulating a liquid chemical within the chemical processing cup, wherein

the member-to-be-processed has surface (2, Fig. 1) to-be-processed which is placed face up in the chemical processing cup, the surface-to-be-processed is chemically processed while the liquid chemical is circulated along the surface-to-be-processed in substantially a single direction at all the times [col. 2, lines13-59].

Meakin discloses all the features of the claimed invention as discussed above, but does not disclose the liquid chemical is circulated along the surface-to-be-processed a velocity gradient of at least 300/second.

Marte et al., however, disclose the liquid chemical is circulated along the surface-to-beprocessed a velocity gradient of at least 300/second [col. 7, lines 62-col. 8, lines 12].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Marte et al. to Meakin discussed above such that the liquid chemical is circulated along the surface-to-be-processed a velocity gradient of at least 300/second for a purpose of improving a chemical processor.

Art Unit: 2818

8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meakin and Marte et

al. in view of Agosta (U.S. Patent No. 4,468,127).

Meakin and Marte et al. disclose all the features of the claimed invention as discussed

above, but do not disclose the chemical processor including a flow rate regulation plate opposing

the surface-to-be-processed and located in the chemical processing cup.

Agosta, however, disclose the chemical processor including a flow rate regulation plate

(40, 41, Fig. 3) opposing the surface-to-be-processed (38, Fig. 3) and located in the chemical

processing cup [col. 5, lines 48-65].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the

invention was made to apply the teaching of Agosta to Meakin and Marte et al. discussed above

such that the chemical processor including a flow rate regulation plate opposing the surface-to-

be-processed and located in the chemical processing cup for purpose of improving a chemical

processor.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims. None of the prior art of records does not disclose the chemical cup

includes a chemical inlet port and a chemical drain port, and including a regulation member

regulating effective aperture area located in the chemical drain port.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,769 Page 5

Art Unit: 2818

Conclusion

10. Applicants are advised to cancel the non-elected claims 4-11 in response to the next

Office action if the application is considered to be allowed.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Phuc T. Dang whose telephone number is (571) 272-1776. The examiner

can normally be reached on 8:00 am-5:00 pm.

12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

David C. Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications

and After Final communications.

13. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Phuc T. Dang

PP Jangshul

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2818